

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/526,814	03/16/00	BALL		M :	2987.2US(96-
-		MM91/0822	٦ [EXAMINER	
JOSEPH A WAL TRASK BRITT PO BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CI	& ROSSA		[HA N ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

08/22/01

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
. Office Action Summary			_					
			09/526,814 BALL, MICHAEL B.					
	•	Exami		Art Unit				
7	The MAILING DATE of this communi	Nathan	Ha the cover sheet with the	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any - Status								
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>13 June</u> 200	1.					
		2b)☐ This action						
3)□ S								
1	Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Cla	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 15-25</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
1	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Cla	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 15-25</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1.[1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice of D	References Cited (PTO-892) Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	D-948) er No(s)	4) Interview Summary (5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/526,814

Art.Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 15-17, and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Matsuda et al. (US 5,757,078, hereinafter Matsuda.)

In regard to claims 1, 15, and 17, in figs. 1-2, Matsuda discloses a semiconductor die comprising

a sheet-like, nonconductive structure 23 having a first surface, and a second surface for attachment to the semiconductor die 21; and

a plurality of electrical conductive discrete pads attached to the first surface, the plurality of electrically conductive discrete pads each having an electrical connection portion and a portion facing the first surface, see fig. 2, each electrically isolated about the portion facing the first surface.

an adapter, at both ends of the structure in fig. 1, having a first plurality of discrete electrical contacts on a first surface thereof.

In regard to claims 2-3, see fig. 1.

In regard to claim 4, see col. 4, lines 40-43.

Art.Unit: 2814

In regard to claims 16, 19-25, see fig. 1, and col. 4, lines 27-col. 5, lines 65.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuda et al. as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Orcutt (US 4,712,129.)
 In regard to claim 18, Matsuda et al. does not expressly discuss materials having matching TCE between the die and the layer that the die attaches to. It is noted that the thermal matching it very well known in the art of semiconductor. This matching helps to prevent the cracking might happen under high temperature, see the abstract. For example, Orcutt discloses that the texture and the die have similar TCE in order to prevent the cracking between the die and the substrate.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use the matching TCE of Orcutt's in Matsuda et al. in order to prevent the cracking between the die and the substrate.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 15-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/526,814

Art Unit: 2814

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Ha whose telephone number is (703) 305-3507. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00(EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (703) 306-2794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and 308-3432 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/526,814

Art, Unit: 2814

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Nathan Ha August 18, 2001

> OLIK CHAUDHURI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800